	THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
	Plaintiff,	Index No.:
-against-		RENEWAL ORDER
	Defendant.	
	J.:	
Plaintiff / L	Defendant moves by Order to Sh	ow Cause for an order pursuant to CPLR §2221
granting leave to renew	the court's prior order dated	Plaintiff/Defendant opposes
the motion.		
CPLR §2221 g	overns motions affecting prior ord	ers. In a motion for renewal, a party must present
material facts which exi	st at the time the prior motion was	made which were not then known. Foley v. Roche,
68 A.D.2d 558 (1st De	pt. 1979). In the present applicat	ion, the <i>plaintiff / defendant</i> requests an order
granting renewal. The	plaintiff / defendant offers no nev	w proof upon which to base this request, but rather,
seeks to convince the	court to re-consider the issues and	arguments presented under the original motion.
Accordingly, pursuant	to CPLR §2221, the motion for re	newal is denied.
This constitute	s the decision and order of the cou	rt.
Dated:		
		Justice Supreme Court

Renewal Order September 2004