## SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA En Banc

| DESERT MOUNTAIN PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, | ) )         | Arizona Supreme Court<br>No. CV-10-0339-PR             |
|---|-------------|--|
| Plaintiff/Appellee/<br>Cross-Appellant,         |             |  |
| V.  | )           |  |
| LIBERTY MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY,          | )<br>)<br>) | Maricopa County<br>Superior Court<br>No. CV2003-009686 |
| Defendant/Appellant/ Cross-Appellee.            | ,           | OPINION  |

Appeal from the Superior Court in Maricopa County
The Honorable Robert E. Miles, Judge

## **AFFIRMED**

Opinion of the Court of Appeals, Division One 225 Ariz. 194, 236 P.3d 421 (App. 2010)

## AFFIRMED

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

Phoenix

By J. Randall Jefferies
Timothy Berg
Theresa Dwyer-Federhar
Louis D. Lopez
Meredith K. Marder

Attorneys for Desert Mountain Properties Limited Partnership

DAVID BELL & ASSOCIATES, P.L.L.C.

Phoenix

By David M. Bell Howard L. Andari

Attorneys for Liberty Mutual Fire

Insurance Company

HARALSON, MILLER, PITT, FELDMAN & MCANALLY, P.L.C. Tucson By Stanley G. Feldman

And

POLI & BALL, P.L.C.

By Michael N. Poli

Phoenix

And

KNAPP & ROBERTS, P.C.

By David L. Abney
Attorneys for Amici Curiae Arizona
Association for Justice/Arizona Trial
Lawyers Association and United Policyholders

Scottsdale

LEWIS AND ROCA, L.L.P.

By Randy S. Papetti

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae Complex

Insurance Claims Litigation Association

Phoenix

## B E R C H, Chief Justice

We granted review in this case to consider (1) whether a commercial general liability (CGL) policy covers an insured's contractual liability for damage that caused only economic loss, (2) whether the CGL policy's contractual liability exclusion applies only when an insured has "assumed" another's liability by agreeing to indemnify or hold another harmless, and (3) whether an insured's voluntary expenditures to repair property damage caused by construction defects resulted from a "legal obligation" to pay "damages." We have jurisdiction under Article 6, Section 5(3) of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. § 12-120.24 (2003).

| <b>¶</b> 2  | After      | cons       | idering     | the  | brie  | efs and | d oral | argum   | ents, | the  |  |  |
|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|------|-------|---------|--------|---------|-------|------|--|--|
| Court       | affirms    | the o      | opinion     | of   | the   | court   | of ap  | peals   | on t  | hese |  |  |
| issues      | for the    | reasoı     | ns set f    | orth | ther  | ein.    |        |         |       |      |  |  |
|             |            |            |             |      |       |         |        |         |       |      |  |  |
|             |            |            |             | Rel  | becca | White   | Berch  | , Chief | Just  |      |  |  |
| CONCURRING: |            |            |             |      |       |         |        |         |       |      |  |  |
| Andrew      | D. Hurwi   | tz, V:     | ice Chie    | f Ju | stice | -       |        |         |       |      |  |  |
| W. Sco      | tt Bales,  | Just       | ice         |      |       | -       |        |         |       |      |  |  |
| A. Joh      | n Pelande: | r, Ju      | stice       |      |       | -       |        |         |       |      |  |  |
| <br>Robert  | M. Bruti   | <br>nel, i | <br>Justice |      |       | -       |        |         |       |      |  |  |