| 1 | DISTRICT COURT, WATER DIVISION 2, STATE OF COLORADO |
|----|--|
| 2 | Case No. 02CW183, 03CW28, 03CW68, DIVISION 2 FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO |
| 4 | ORDER JUL 0 2 2004 GLEGA |
| 5 | - The state of the |
| 6 | CONCERNING THE APPLICATION FOR CHANGE OF WATER RIGHTS OF |
| 7 | WOLLERT ENTERPRISES, INC., 136TH & COLORADO, L.L.C., HIGH |
| 8 | PLAINS A&M, L.L.C., MAGRO, L.L.C., AND ISG, L.L.C., et al |
| 9 | |
| 10 | IN THE ARKANSAS RIVER AND ITS TRIBUTARIES, IN OTERO, BENT, |
| 11 | PROWERS, CROWLEY, KIOWA, and PUEBLO COUNTIES, COLORADO. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | This matter is before the Court in Case Nos. 02CW183 |
| 14 | and 03CW28 on Applicants' Motion for Determination of a |
| 15 | Question of Law Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 56(h). This matter |
| 16 | is also before the Court in 03CW68 on the Applicants' |
| 17 | Motion for Determination of a Question of Law Pursuant to |
| 18 | C.R.C.P. 56(h). Objectors, Southeastern Colorado Water |
| 19 | Conservancy District (Southeastern), Lower Arkansas Valley |
| 20 | Water Conservancy District, District 70 Irrigating Canals |
| 21 | Assoc., Amity Mutual Irrigation Company, Carl M. Shinn, |
| 22 | Mary Jane Shinn, and Wendy S. Shinn, through their |
| 23 | respective counsel filed a combined Brief in Response to |
| 24 | the Motion for Determination of a Question of Law and in |
| 25 | Support of a Motion for Summary Judgment. |
| | |

- 1 Responses have been filed by the City of Aurora, the
- 2 State and Division Engineers, Colorado Water Conservation
- 3 Board, and the Fort Lyon Canal Company. A list of the
- 4 parties and their attorneys, if represented by an
- 5 attorney, is attached to this Order. The Court is now
- 6 properly advised in the premises.
- 7 THE COURT FINDS AND CONCLUDES:
- 8 <u>CASE NUMBER 02CW183</u>
- 9 Applicants, Wollert Enterprises, Inc.; 136th &
- 10 Colorado, L.L.C.; High Plains A&M, L.L.C.; and Magro,
- 11 L.L.C., filed an application for change of certain water
- 12 rights. The water rights to be changed are shares that
- 13 were purchased or are about to be purchased by Applicants
- 14 in the Fort Lyon Canal Company, a Colorado Mutual Ditch
- 15 Company.
- 16 The Applicants also seek the right to divert and/or
- 17 store the water rights at certain alternate points of
- 18 diversion and/or storage.
- 19 The Applicants seek to change the uses of said rights
- 20 from irrigation and other presently decreed uses to: All
- 21 beneficial uses, including but not limited to irrigation,
- 22 municipal, domestic and household purposes, drinking,
- 23 cooking, cleaning, showers, toilets, irrigation of yards,
- lawns, shrubbery, trees, pools, fountains and landscapes,
- 25 watering domestic animals; mechanical, manufacturing, and

- 1 industrial, military, and governmental purposes; bottled
- 2 water; generation of electric power and power generally;
- 3 fire suppression and protection; sewage treatment; street
- 4 sprinkling; irrigation of parks, grounds, golf courses,
- 5 and open spaces; recreation, golf course hazards, ponds,
- 6 fishing, and fish propagation; agricultural uses,
- 7 livestock watering and agriculture; land and reservoir
- 8 evaporation; maintenance, preservation and conservation of
- 9 wildlife, wildlife habitat, wildlife propagation, and
- 10 wetlands; creating, maintaining and enhancing aesthetic
- 11 values; in-stream flow; erosion control, siltation
- 12 control, and flood control; maintaining storage reserves;
- 13 adjustment and regulation; augmentation; replacement;
- 14 groundwater recharge; exchange; and for succession of such
- uses; the water may be totally consumptively used,
- 16 successively used, reused, and recaptured for later use;
- 17 and the water may be stored in any place Applicants may
- 18 have or obtain the right to store water.
- The Applicants seek a decree from the Court that they
- 20 have the right to use, reuse, and successively use to
- 21 extinction, and dispose of, by sale, exchange, or
- 22 otherwise, all water lawfully diverted and/or stored
- 23 pursuant to any decree entered in the case.
- The Applicants also seek to, in addition to the lands
- 25 currently under the Fort Lyon Canal, serve the following

- 1 counties: Otero, Bent, Prowers, Pueblo, Crowley, Kiowa,
- 2 Custer, Fremont, Chaffee, Park, Teller, El Paso, Lincoln,
- 3 Elbert, Douglas, Jefferson, Lake, Clear Creek, Gilpin,
- 4 Denver, Arapahoe, Adams, Washington, Boulder, Broomfield,
- 5 Larimer, Weld, and Morgan.
- 6 The Applicants have purchased the Fort Lyon shares for
- 7 purposes of marketing them and do not yet have contracts
- 8 with any end users.
- 9 Applicants admit they possess no contract for use of
- 10 the water except leases for the current irrigation
- 11 purposes.
- 12 <u>CASE NUMBER 03CW28</u>
- 13 Applicants are High Plains A&M, L.L.C. and Magro,
- 14 L.L.C. They have filed an Application for change of water
- 15 rights concerning shares purchased by them from the Fort
- 16 Lyon Canal Company.
- 17 The Applicants seek the right to divert and/or store
- 18 the subject water rights at various alternate points of
- 19 diversion and/or storage listed in their Application.
- The Applicants seek to change the use of said water
- 21 rights from irrigation and other presently decreed uses
- 22 to: All beneficial uses, including but not limited to
- 23 irrigation, municipal, domestic and household purposes,
- 24 drinking, cooking, cleaning, showers, toilets, irrigation
- of yards, lawns, shrubbery, trees, pools, fountains and

- 1 landscapes, watering domestic animals; mechanical,
- 2 manufacturing, and industrial, military, and governmental
- 3 purposes; bottled water; generation of electric power and
- 4 power generally; fire suppression and protection; sewage
- 5 treatment; street sprinkling; irrigation of parks,
- 6 grounds, golf courses, and open spaces; recreation, golf
- 7 course hazards, ponds, fishing, and fish propagation;
- 8 agricultural uses, livestock watering and agriculture;
- 9 land and reservoir evaporation; maintenance, preservation
- 10 and conservation of wildlife, wildlife habitat, wildlife
- 11 propagation, and wetlands; creating, maintaining and
- 12 enhancing aesthetic values; in-stream flow; erosion
- 13 control, siltation control, and flood control; maintaining
- 14 storage reserves; adjustment and regulation; augmentation;
- 15 replacement; groundwater recharge; exchange; and for a
- 16 succession of such uses; and the water may be totally
- 17 consumptively used, successively used, reused, and
- 18 recaptured for later use; and the water may be stored in
- 19 any place Applicants may have or obtain a right to store
- 20 water.
- 21 Applicants seek a decree from the Court that they have
- 22 the right to use, reuse, and successfully use to
- 23 extinction and dispose of, by sale, exchange, or
- 24 otherwise, all water lawfully diverted and/or stored
- 25 pursuant to any decree in the request.

- 1 The Applicants intend to use the water for lands
- 2 currently under the Fort Lyon Canal, as well as the
- 3 following counties: Otero, Bent, Prowers, Pueblo,
- 4 Crowley, Kiowa, Custer, Fremont, Chaffee, Park, Teller, El
- 5 Paso, Lincoln, Elbert, Douglas, Jefferson, Lake, Clear
- 6 Creek, Gilpin, Denver, Arapahoe, Adams, Washington,
- 7 Boulder, Broomfield, Larimer, Weld, and Morgan.
- 8 The Applicants intend to use the purchased Fort Lyon
- 9 shares for the purpose of marketing them, and Applicants
- 10 do not yet have contracts with any end users.
- 11 Applicants confirm that they possess no contracts for
- 12 use of the water, except leases for the current irrigation
- 13 purposes.

14 <u>CASE NUMBER 03CW68</u>

- The Applicants who have applied for a change of water
- 16 rights in the above matter are ISG, L.L.C., et. al.
- 17 The water rights to be changed are represented by
- 18 shares the Applicants purchased from the Fort Lyon Canal
- 19 Company.
- The Applicants apply to change the present uses from
- 21 irrigation and other presently decreed uses to: All
- 22 beneficial uses, including but not limited to irrigation,
- 23 municipal, domestic and household purposes, drinking,
- 24 cooking, cleaning, showers, toilets, irrigation of yards,
- lawns, shrubbery, trees, pools, fountains and landscapes,

- 1 watering domestic animals; mechanical, manufacturing, and
- 2 industrial, military, and governmental purposes; bottled
- 3 water; generation of electric power and power generally;
- 4 fire suppression and protection; sewage treatment; street
- 5 sprinkling; irrigation of parks, grounds, golf courses,
- 6 and open spaces; recreation, golf course hazards, ponds,
- 7 fishing and fish propagation; agricultural uses, livestock
- 8 watering and agriculture; land and reservoir evaporation;
- 9 maintenance, preservation and conservation of wildlife,
- 10 wildlife habitat, wildlife propagation, and wetlands;
- 11 creating, maintaining and enhancing aesthetic values;
- 12 in-stream flow; erosion control, siltation control, and
- 13 flood control; maintaining storage reserves; adjustment
- 14 and regulation; augmentation; replacement; groundwater
- 15 recharge; exchange; and for a succession of such uses; and
- 16 the water may be totally consumptively used, successively
- 17 used, reused, and recaptured for later use; and the water
- 18 may be stored in any place Applicants may have or obtain a
- 19 right to store water. Applicants seek a decree from the
- 20 Court that they have the right to use, reuse, and
- 21 successfully use to extinction, and dispose of, by sale,
- 22 exchange, or otherwise, all the water lawfully diverted
- 23 and/or stored pursuant to any decree entered in this case.
- The Applicants propose to use the water rights in the
- 25 lands currently under the Fort Lyon Canal, as well as the

- 1 following counties: Otero, Bent, Prowers, Pueblo,
- 2 Crowley, Kiowa, Custer, Fremont, Chaffee, Park, Teller, El
- 3 Paso, Lincoln, Elbert, Douglas, Jefferson, Lake, Clear
- 4 Creek, Gilpin, Denver, Arapahoe, Adams, Washington,
- 5 Boulder, Broomfield, Larimer, Weld, and Morgan.
- 6 The Applicants purchased the shares for purposes of
- 7 marketing them and do not yet have contracts with any end
- 8 users.
- 9 The Applicants admit that they possess no contracts
- 10 for use of the water, except leases for the current
- 11 irrigation purposes.
- 12 Water right means a right to use in accordance with
- 13 its priority a certain portion of the waters of the state
- 14 by reason of the appropriation of the same. C.R.S.
- $15 \quad 37-92-103(12)$.
- 16 Appropriation means the application of a specified
- 17 portion of the waters of the state to a beneficial use
- 18 pursuant to the procedures described by law; but no
- 19 appropriation of water, either absolute or conditional,
- 20 shall be held to occur when the proposed appropriation is
- 21 based upon the <u>speculative sale or transfer</u> of the
- 22 appropriative rights to persons not parties to the
- 23 proposed appropriation, as evidenced by either of the
- 24 following: II) The appropriator of record does not have
- 25 a specific plan and intent to divert, store, or otherwise

- 1 capture, possess, and control a specific quantity of water
- 2 for specific beneficial uses. C.R.S. 37-92-103(3)(a)(II).
- 3 (Emphasis added).
- 4 Beneficial use is the use of that amount of water that
- 5 is reasonable and appropriate under reasonably efficient
- 6 practices to accomplish without waste the purpose for
- 7 which the appropriation is lawfully made and, without
- 8 limiting the generality of the foregoing, includes the
- 9 empowerment of water for recreational purposes, including
- 10 fishery, wildlife, and also includes the diversion of
- 11 water by a county, municipality, city and county, water
- 12 district, water and sanitation district, water
- 13 conservation district, or water conservancy district for
- 14 recreational inchannel diversion purposes. For the
- 15 benefit and enjoyment of present and future generations,
- 16 "beneficial use" shall also include the appropriation by
- 17 the State of Colorado in the manner proscribed by law of
- 18 such minimum flows between specific points or levels for
- 19 and on natural streams and lakes as are required to
- 20 preserve the natural environment to a reasonable degree.
- 21 C.R.S. 37-92-103(4).
- C.R.S. 37-92-103(5) provides the definition for change
- 23 of water rights and provides that it includes changes of
- 24 conditional water rights, as well as changes of water
- 25 rights.

- 1 Priority means the seniority by date as of which a
- 2 water right is entitled to use or conditional water right
- 3 will be entitled to use and the relative seniority of a
- 4 water right or a conditional water right in relation to
- 5 other water rights and conditional water rights deriving
- 6 their supply from a common source. C.R.S. 37-92-103(10).
- 7 An absolute decree confirms that an appropriation is
- 8 vested as a property right and entitles the subsequent
- 9 operation of that right through a decreed point of
- 10 diversion, in a specified amount, for a particular
- 11 beneficial use. <u>State Engineer v. Bradley (In Re</u>
- 12 Application of Water Rights in Rio Grande County), 53 P.3d
- 13 1165, 1168 (Colo.2002).
- 14 To render a valid judgment, a Court must have
- 15 jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter.
- 16 <u>People v. Coyle</u>, 654 P.2d 815, 819 (Colo. 1982).
- 17 A person seeking a determination of a water right must
- 18 file an application with the water clerk setting forth
- 19 facts supporting the ruling sought in order to invoke the
- jurisdiction of a water court. C.R.S. 37-92-302(1)(a).
- The water clerk is required to prepare a resumé of all
- 22 applications in the water division which have been filed
- 23 in the clerk's office during the preceding month not later
- 24 than the fifteenth day of each month. The resumé must
- contain certain information. C.R.S. 37-92-302(3)(a).

- 1 The clerk is also required to publish each resumé or a
- 2 portion thereof in a newspaper or newspapers as is
- 3 necessary to obtain circulation once in every county
- 4 affected, as determined by the water judge. C.R.S.
- $5 \quad 37-92-302(3)(b)$.
- 6 The Applicants in these matters claim that the
- 7 anti-speculation doctrine found in C.R.S. 37-92-103(3)(a)
- 8 applies only to applications for new appropriations of
- 9 water rights. They further contend the concerns
- 10 underlying the anti-speculation doctrine are not
- 11 applicable in application(s) for changes of water rights.
- 12 They further assert that the water court is required to
- 13 grant an application to change water rights if the
- 14 applicant shows that no injury will result from the
- 15 proposed change.
- The obvious reasons for the filing requirements found
- in C.R.S. 37-92-302(1)(a), C.R.S. 37-92-302(3)(a), and
- 18 C.R.S. 37-92-302(3)(b) is to provide notice to other
- 19 owners of water rights who can then determine if the
- 20 application, if approved, will cause injury to their
- 21 existing rights. Specificity in the application and
- 22 notice is necessary to properly apprise other water rights
- 23 owners if their rights will be affected.
- 24 C.R.S. 37-92-102(1)(a) provides, "It is hereby
- 25 declared to be the policy of the State of Colorado that

- 1 all water in or tributary to natural surface streams, not
- 2 including nontributary groundwater as that term is defined
- 3 in Section 37-90-103, originating in or flowing into this
- 4 state have always been and are hereby declared to be the
- 5 property of the public, dedicated to the use of the people
- 6 of the state subject to appropriation and use in
- 7 accordance with Sections 5 and 6 of Article XVI of the
- 8 State Constitution and this Article.
- 9 Article XVI, Section 5 of the Colorado Constitution
- 10 provides, "The water of every natural stream, not
- 11 heretofore appropriated, within the state of Colorado, is
- 12 hereby declared to be the property of the public, and the
- 13 same is dedicated to the use of the people of the state,
- 14 subject to appropriation as hereinafter provided."
- The importance of Sections 5-8 of Article XVI was duly
- 16 noticed in In Re Senate Resolution, 9 Colo. 620, 21 P. 470
- 17 (1886) which declared that Sections 5-8 comprise that part
- 18 of the constitution that deals with the subject of water
- 19 rights and is a subject second to none in its importance
- 20 and intricacy.
- 21 A water right comes into existence only through
- 22 application of the water to the appropriator's beneficial
- 23 use; that beneficial use then becomes the basis, measure
- 24 and limit of the appropriation. Coffin v. Lefthand Ditch
- 25 Co., 6 Colo. 443, 447 (1882).

- 1 Property rights and water are usufructuary; ownership
- 2 of the resource itself remains in the public. <u>Farmers'</u>
- 3 <u>Highline Canal and Reservoir Co. v. City of Golden</u>, 975
- 4 P.2d 189, 198 (Colo. 1999). The appropriation of water
- 5 within the meaning of the constitution, consists of two
- 6 acts, first, the diversion of the water from the natural
- 7 stream and second, the application thereof to beneficial
- 8 use. Farmers' Highline Canal and Reservoir Co. v.
- 9 <u>Southworth</u>, 13 Colo. 111, 21 P. 1028 (1889). A water
- 10 right is created by appropriation and beneficial use of
- 11 unappropriated water. Williams v. Midway Ranch Property
- 12 Owners Association, Inc., 938 P.2d 515, 521 (Colo. 1997).
- 13 The Colorado Supreme Court has ruled that certain
- 14 limitations may be placed on changes of water rights to
- 15 "advance the fundamental principles of Colorado and
- 16 western water law that favor optimum use, efficient water
- 17 management, and priority administration, and <u>disfavors</u>
- 18 <u>speculation and waste." Sante Fe Trail Ranches Property</u>
- 19 <u>Owners Ass'n v. Simpson</u>, 990 P.2d 46, 54 (Colo. 1999).
- 20 (Emphasis added.)
- The Court in Colorado River Water Conservation
- 22 <u>District v. Vidler Tunnel Water Company</u>, 197 Colo. 413,
- 23 417, 594 P.2d 566, 568 (Colo. 1979) declared, "Our
- 24 constitution guarantees a right to appropriate, not a
- 25 <u>right to speculate</u>. The right to appropriate is for Use,

- 1 not merely for profit. As we read our constitution and
- 2 statutes, they give no one the right to preempt the
- 3 development potential of water for the <u>anticipated</u> future
- 4 use of Others not in privity of contract, or in any agency
- 5 relationship, with the developer regarding that use."
- 6 (Emphasis added).
- 7 Here, the Applicants seek the change for virtually any
- 8 use where water may be necessary without identifying the
- 9 specific use and/or end user. Applicants' plan is so
- 10 expansive and nebulous that it is impossible for other
- 11 holders of water rights to determine whether they will be
- 12 injured. Furthermore, there is no discernible method to
- 13 determine whether the water will be put to a beneficial
- 14 use.
- The Court in Vidler at 418, 569 expressed disfavor for
- 16 granting conditional decrees based solely on obtaining
- 17 water for sale as a commodity. The Court further
- 18 determined that to allow such decrees, "(w)ould encourage
- 19 those with vast monetary resources to monopolize, for
- 20 personal profit rather than for beneficial use, whatever
- 21 unappropriated water remains." The Court sees no reason
- 22 to distinguish between appropriated and unappropriated
- 23 water as the distinction would render meaningless the
- 24 initial application for a water right and its notice
- 25 requirements.

- 1 An applicant could easily circumvent the
- 2 anti-speculation doctrine in the initial application
- 3 process by securing a water right that satisfies all
- 4 requirements and will be put to a beneficial use without
- 5 injury to other water right holders and subsequently apply
- 6 for a change for said use to many others uses not included
- 7 in the original resumé.
- 8 To permit the anti-speculation doctrine to be so
- 9 easily manipulated in light of the longstanding opposition
- 10 to such a use of the State's most valuable resource would
- 11 play havoc with the adjudication processes that have
- 12 served the State well.
- 13 The proposed uses by Applicants are all inclusive and
- 14 are such a deviation from the original right that they
- 15 take on the characteristics of a new water right. That
- 16 being the case, all owners who might be injuriously
- 17 affected have a right to be notified of the specific use
- 18 of said right. Without such a scheme, there is no
- 19 conceivable way to measure injury and impose terms and
- 20 conditions which might prevent said injury.
- 21 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:
- The anti-speculation doctrine and C.R.S.
- 37-92-103(3)(a) and C.R.S. 37-92-305(9)(b) apply to
- 24 applications for changes of water rights. Applicants'
- 25 current application violates the anti-speculation doctrine

| 1 | as set forth above. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Southeastern's Motion for Summary Judgment be and is |
| 3 | hereby GRANTED. |
| 4 | The Applications filed in the above-entitled matters |
| 5 | be and are hereby DISMISSED. |
| 6 | Done and dated this 2^{-1} day of July 2004. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | DENNIS MAES, WATER JUDGE, DV. 2 |
| 9 | DEMNIS MAES, WAIER CODGE, DV. 2 |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

CASE NO. 02CW183 WOLLERT ENTERPRISES, et al. LIST OF PARTIES

| Name of Party | Attorney(s) |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | |
| Wollert Enterprises, Inc. | Harvey W. Curtis |
| 136 th & Colorado, LLC | David L. Kueter |
| High Plains A&M, LLC | Patricia A. Madsen |
| Magro, LLC | |
| | |
| Fort Lyon Canal Company | John S. Lefferdink |
| | |
| Holbrook Mutual Irrigating Company | Michael L. Nicklos |
| | N1/A |
| Prowers County Board of | N/A |
| Commissioners | |
| Lawrence O. Mowhere off | Mark A. MacDonnell |
| Lance O. Verhoeff | Mark A. MacDonnell |
| Verhoeff Farms, Inc. | |
| Arkansas Valley Ditch Association | John R. Hill, Jr. |
| Arkansas valley Ditch Association | John T. Till, Or. |
| May Valley Water Association | N/A |
| Way Valley Water Association | 1 |
| James A. Gruenloh | N/A |
| | |
| Board of County Commissioners of | N/A |
| Otero County | |
| | |
| Southeastern Colorado Water | Stephen H. Leonhardt |
| Conservancy District | Scott A. Clark |
| | Bernard F. Gehris |
| | |
| Ruth and Dale Hency | James G. Felt |
| | |
| The Wheatridge Lateral Ditch | N/A |
| Company | |
| | |
| Board of Water Works of Pueblo, | William A. Paddock |
| Colorado | Karl D. Ohlsen |
| | |
| Donald Marvin McBee | N/A |
| | NI/A |
| Donald Martin McBee | N/A |
| | |

| Clover Meadow Lateral Ditch Co. | N/A |
|---|---|
| Lower Arkansas Valley Watershed Association of Conservation Districts | Mark A. MacDonnell |
| Bent Conservation District | Mark A. MacDonnell |
| Smith Mutual Ditch Company | N/A |
| Carl M. Shinn Mary Jane Shinn Wendy S. Shinn | Donald L. Steerman |
| District 67 Irrigation Canals Association | Donald L. Steerman |
| The Amity Mutual Irrigation Co. | Donald L. Steerman |
| Pueblo, a Municipal Corporation | Anne J. Castle Christopher L. thorne Thomas J. Florczak |
| St. Charles Mesa Water District | Anne J. Castle |
| City of Lamar, Colorado | N/A |
| Stanley V. Cline, individually; as Personal Representative of the Estate of Bonnie Cline, Deceased; Estate of Joe Cline, Deceased; as agent for Bourne Limited Partnership; as a member and representative of the McClave Lateral, an Unincorporated Association; as a member and representative of the Sunflower Lateral, an Unincorporated Association; and as a member and representative of the Enterprise Lateral, an Unincorporated Association | N/A |
| Arbor Lateral Company | N/A |
| McClave Water Association | N/A |
| City of Colorado Springs | William Kelly Dude |

| Lyle T. Japhet Trust; Lyle T. Japhet, Trustee | N/A |
|--|--|
| Burt Heckman, individually, as partner with Fred Heckman, as a member and representative of the McClave Lateral, an Unincorporated Association and as an owner of the a. Reyher Seep One Ditch | N/A |
| Earl Living Trust; Bonnie J. Earl, Trustee | N/A |
| Bent County Board of Commissioners | Mark A. MacDonnell |
| Hans Friederichs, Jr. and JoLeyne White-Heckman-Friederichs, as members and representatives of the Stony Point Lateral, an Unincorporated Association | N/A |
| ContiBeef, LLC, d/b/a Colorado Beef | Anne J. Castle Christopher L. Thorne |
| The Colorado Canal Company, The Lake Meredith Reservoir Company, and The Lake Henry Reservoir Company | Mary Mead Hammond Karl D. Ohlsen |
| Kent and Peg Miller, as Personal Representatives for headgates 91, 95, 112 of Fort Lyon Canal | N/A |
| Mike Wyckoff, as Personal Representative for headgates 101, 112 of Fort Lyon Canal | N/A |
| Valley Water Protection Association, LLC | David C. Hallford Anne Marie Callahan |
| Timberlake Grazing Association, Inc. | N/A |
| The City of Aurora, Colorado | John M. Dingess |

| Lower Arkansas Valley Water Conservancy District | H. Barton Mendenhall |
|---|---|
| Don Downing | N/A |
| State Division of Wildlife | Bradley W. Cameron |
| Colorado Water Conservation Board | Alexandra L. Davis |
| Reed & Ullom | Glenn E. Porzak Kevin J. Kinnear |
| Wiley Drainage District | Glenn E. Porzak Kevin J. Kinnear |
| Public Service Company of Colorado d/b/a Xcel Energy | Brian M. Nazarenus Carolyn F. Burr William H. Caile |
| Wiley School District RE-13JT | N/A |
| Raymond D. Mauch | N/A |
| Harold D. (Hal) Simpson, State Engineer, and Steven J. Witte, Division Engineer | Alexandra L. Davis |
| Willard Kasza | N/A |
| Andrew Kern Drainage Ditch | Randa Davis-Tice |
| Upper Arkansas Water Conservancy District | Julianne M. Woldridge |
| Colorado Water Protective and Development Association | Julianne M. Woldridge |
| Columbine Lateral Ditch Co. | N/A |
| Consolidated Ditch Lateral, Inc. | N/A |
| Lorraine Schleining | N/A |

| Colorado Springs Utilities | William A. Paddock Karl D. Ohlsen |
|--|--|
| Catlin Canal Company | Glenn E. Porzak Kevin J. Kinnear |
| Lower Arkansas Water Management Association | David L. Harrison Richard J. Mehren |

CASE NO. 03CW28 HIGH PLAINS A&M, LLC and MAGRO, LLC LIST OF PARTIES

| Name of Party | Attorney(s) |
|--|---|
| High Plains A&M, LLC Magro, LLC | Harvey W. Curtis David L. Kueter Patricia A. Madsen |
| Fort Lyon Canal Company | John S. Lefferdink |
| Arkansas Valley Ditch Association | John R. Hill, Jr. |
| Upper Arkansas Water Conservancy District | Julianne M. Woldridge |
| Colorado Water Protective and Development Association | Julianne M. Woldridge |
| Lyle T. Japhet Trust | N/A |
| Stanley V. Cline | N/A |
| Holbrook Mutual Irrigating Company | Michael L. Nicklos |
| Bent County Board of Commissioners | Mark A. MacDonnell |
| Southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy District | Stephen H. Leonhardt Scott A. Clark Bernard F. Gehris |
| Smith Mutual Ditch Company | N/A |
| The Board of County Commissioners of The County of Otero | N/A |
| May Valley Water Association | N/A |
| James A. Gruenloh | N/A |
| Columbine Lateral Ditch Co. | N/A |
| Andrew Kern Drainage Ditch Rex and Alberta Davis Robert C. Lubbers | Randa Davis-Tice |

| St. Charles Mesa Water District | Anne J. Castle |
|---|---|
| The Amity Mutual Irrigation Company | Donald L. Steerman |
| District 67 Irrigation Canals Association | Donald L. Steerman |
| Carl M. Shinn Many Jane Shinn Wendy S. Shinn | Donald L. Steerman |
| Raymond D. Mauch | N/A |
| City of Aurora, Colorado | John M. Dingess |
| Donald Martin McBee | N/A |
| Donald Marvin McBee | N/A |
| Lower Arkansas Valley Water Conservancy District | H. Barton Mendenhall |
| ContiBeef, LLC d/b/a Colorado Beef | Anne J. Castle Christopher L. Thorne |
| The Colorado Canal Company The Lake Meredith Reservoir Company The Lake Henry Reservoir Company | Mary Mead Hammond William A. Paddock |
| Valley Water Protection Association, LLC | David C. Hallford Anne Marie Callahan |
| Harold D. (Hal) Simpson, State Engineer, and Steven J. Witte, Division Engineer | Alexandra L. Davis |
| Pueblo, a Municipal Corporation | Anne J. Castle Christopher L. Thorne |
| City of Colorado Springs | William Kelly Dude |
| Public Service Company of Colorado d/b/a Xcel Energy | Brian M. Nazarenus Carolyn F. Burr William H. Caile |
| Colorado Water Conservation Board | Alexandra L. Davis |

| Board of Water Works of Pueblo, Colorado | William A. Paddock Karl D. Ohlsen |
|--|--|
| Consolidated Ditch Lateral, Inc. | N/A |
| City of Colorado Springs Utilities | William A. Paddock Karl D. Ohlsen |
| Catlin Canal Company | Glenn E. Porzak Kevin J. Kinnear |
| Lower Arkansas Water Management Association | David L. Harrison Richard J. Mehren |

CASE NO. 03CW68 ISG, LLC, et al. LIST OF PARTIES

| Name of Party | Attorney(s) |
|---|---|
| | Karl F. Kumli, III |
| ISG, LLC, et al. | Star L. Waring |
| Fort Lyon Canal Company | John S. Lefferdink |
| Holbrook Mutual Irrigating Company | Michael L. Nicklos |
| Otero County Board of County Commissioners | N/A |
| Board of Water Works of Pueblo, Colorado | William A. Paddock Beth Ann J. Parson |
| Lower Arkansas Water Conservancy District | H. Barton Mendenhall |
| Southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy District | Stephen H. Leonhardt Scott A. Clark Bernard F. Gehris |
| The Amity Mutual Irrigation Company | Donald L. Steerman |
| District 67 Irrigation Canals Association | Donald L. Steerman |
| Carl M. Shinn Mary Jane Shinn Wendy S. Shinn | Donald L. Steerman |
| City of Aurora, Colorado | John M. Dingess |
| The Colorado Canal Company The Lake Meredith Reservoir Company The Lake Henry Reservoir Company | Mary Mead Hammond William A. Paddock |
| Valley Water Protection Association, LLC | David C. Hallford Anne Marie Callahan |
| Pueblo, a Municipal Corporation | Anne J. Castle Christopher L. Thorne Thomas J. Florczak |

| St. Charles Mesa Water District | Anne J. Castle Christopher L. Thorne |
|---|---|
| ContiBeef d/b/a Colorado Beef | Anne J. Castle Christopher L. Thorne |
| Harold D. (Hal) Simpson, State Engineer, and Steven J. Witte, Division Engineer | Alexandra L. Davis |
| Arkansas Valley Ditch Association | John R. Hill, Jr. Marcus J. Lock |
| Catlin Canal Company | Glenn E. Porzak Kevin J. Kinnear |
| Colorado Water Protective and Development Association | Julianne M. Woldridge |
| Upper Arkansas Water Conservancy District | Julianne M. Woldridge |
| Colorado Springs Utilities | William A. Paddock Karl D. Ohlsen |
| Public Service Company of Colorado d/b/a Xcel Energy | Brian M. Nazarenus |
| Colorado Water Conservation Board | Alexandra L. Davis |
| Pueblo West Metropolitan District | Robert F. T. Krassa |
| Henry Earl Tice Randa Davis-Tice Robert C. Lubbers Revocable Trust | Randa Davis-Tice |
| Andrew Kern Drainage Ditch | Randa Davis-Tice |
| Bent County Board of Commissioners | Mark A. MacDonnell |
| Lower Arkansas Water Management District | David L. Harrison Richard J. Mehren |