
The “officially released” date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the Connecticut Law Journal or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the “officially released” date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the “officially released” date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT *v.* JACQUELINE
AGNES PARSLOW
(AC 19523)

Landau, Zarella and O’Connell, Js.

Submitted on briefs September 11—officially released October 24, 2000

Counsel

Kevin M. Johnson filed a brief for the appellant (defendant).

Mark S. Solak, state’s attorney, *Lisa A. Riggione*, senior assistant state’s attorney, and *Roger Caridad*, assistant state’s attorney, filed a brief for the appellee (state).

Opinion

PER CURIAM. The defendant, Jacqueline Agnes Parslow, appeals from the judgment of conviction of reckless endangerment in the second degree in violation of General Statutes § 53a-64 and criminal mischief in the third degree in violation of General Statutes § 53a-117. On appeal, the defendant claims that the trial court improperly denied her motion for judgment of acquittal because there was insufficient evidence to sustain the jury’s verdict.

We have fully reviewed and considered the record and the parties' briefs. The appeal rests on fact-bound issues. The verdict of the jury is supported by the evidence and the inferences that reasonably may be drawn therefrom. See *State v. Miller*, 59 Conn. App. 406, 412, A.2d (2000). Having applied the appropriate standard of review, we affirm the judgment of the trial court.

The judgment is affirmed.
