

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
FIFTH DISTRICT

JANUARY TERM 2009

J.M., MOTHER OF J.W., A CHILD,

Appellant,

v.

Case No. 5D08-3797

DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES,

Appellee.

_____ /

Opinion filed May 14, 2009

Appeal from the Circuit Court
for Marion County,
S. Sue Robbins, Judge.

Shannon L. Reynolds, Ocala,
for Appellant.

Karla Perkins, Assistant
District Legal Counsel,
Department of Children and
Families, Miami, for Appellee.

Jennifer S. Paulin, Appellate
Counsel, Guardian ad Litem
Program, Orlando, for GAL.

PLEUS, JR., R., Senior Judge.

J.M., the mother of the dependent child J.W., appeals a portion of the disposition order on the ground that she should not have been required to submit to a psychological examination. The mother does not challenge any of the trial court's findings underlying the order of disposition, or any of the tasks required in the case plan.

We affirm the order of disposition and that portion of the order finding good cause to require her to submit to a psychological exam. However, we remand to the trial court so that the order may specify the "time, place, manner, conditions and scope of the examination and the person or persons by whom it is to be made." Fla. R. Juv. P. 8.250(b); D.C. v. Dep't of Children & Families, 966 So. 2d 1032 (Fla. 4th DCA 2007). We suggest that the Department be ordered to make the necessary arrangements and report to the court so that the order and the rule may have compliance. The court, of course, may use whatever method works best.

AFFIRMED and REMANDED for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

GRIFFIN and TORPY, JJ., concur.