

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
FIFTH DISTRICT

JANUARY TERM 2012

JUAN JAVIER ROMO-JIMENEZ,

Appellant,

v.

Case No. 5D09-3270

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellee.

_____ /

Opinion filed May 18, 2012

Appeal from the Circuit Court
for Orange County,
Bob Leblanc, Judge.

James S. Purdy, Public Defender, and
Thomas J. Lukashow, Assistant Public
Defender, Daytona Beach, for Appellant.

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General,
Tallahassee, and Kellie A. Nielan,
Assistant Attorney General, Daytona
Beach, for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

Juan Javier Romo-Jimenez challenges the denial of his motion to suppress recordings obtained following the approval of a wiretap and the trial court's sua sponte restriction of the cross-examination of a co-defendant. In addition, Romo-Jimenez raises a Shelton¹ issue. Finding no error, we affirm. See Flagg v. State, 74 So. 3d 138 (Fla. 1st DCA 2011). We certify that the same issue reviewed in this case is currently

¹ Shelton v. Sec., Dep't of Corr., 802 F. Supp. 2d 1289 (M.D. Fla. 2011).

pending before the Florida Supreme Court in State v. Adkins, 71 So. 3d 117 (Fla. 2011).

The mandate will be withheld pending final disposition in Adkins.

AFFIRMED.

SAWAYA, COHEN and JACOBUS, JJ., concur.