

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA  
FIFTH DISTRICT

JANUARY TERM 2010

PAULA J. PATTIO,

Appellant,

v.

Case No. 5D09-644

UNEMPLOYMENT APPEALS COMMISSION,

Appellee.

/

Opinion filed February 5, 2010

Administrative Appeal from the  
Unemployment Appeals  
Commission.

Paula J. Pattio, Gainesville, pro se.

Louis A. Gutierrez, Tallahassee, for  
Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

Paula Pattio appeals an order of the Unemployment Appeals Commission affirming the appeal referee's finding that Ms. Pattio was disqualified from receiving benefits because she was discharged from employment for misconduct connected with work. As a result, she was denied unemployment benefits. We affirm.

The determination that an employee was terminated for good cause is a question of fact. Brown v. Unemployment Appeals Comm'n, 820 So. 2d 457 (Fla. 5th DCA 2002). An appeal referee's factual determination is ordinarily presumed to be correct.

Smith v. Unemployment Appeals Comm'n, 823 So. 2d 873 (Fla. 5th DCA 2002). Thus, if there is substantial competent evidence in the record to support the appeal referee's findings, this Court must affirm. Brown, 820 So. 2d at 458. The record before us fully supports the finding of the referee that Ms. Pattio was discharged from employment for good cause. We cannot reweigh the evidence. Accordingly, we affirm.

AFFIRMED.

ORFINGER, TORPY and LAWSON, JJ., concur.