

Supreme Court of Florida

No. SC10-141

RODRIGO BONILLA,
Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,
Respondent.

[October 27, 2011]

LABARGA, J.

This case is before the Court for review of the decision of the Third District Court of Appeal in Bonilla v. State, 23 So. 3d 1256 (Fla. 3d DCA 2009). The district court certified that its decision is in direct conflict with the decision of the First District Court of Appeal in Montgomery v. State, 34 Fla. L. Weekly D360 (Fla. 1st DCA 2009). We have jurisdiction. See art. V, § 3(b)(4), Fla. Const.

We previously accepted jurisdiction in Montgomery and stayed proceedings in Bonilla pending disposition of Montgomery. In State v. Montgomery, 39 So. 3d 252 (Fla. 2010), we held that because defendant Montgomery, who was convicted of second-degree murder, was entitled to an accurate jury instruction on the

necessarily lesser included offense of manslaughter by act, the use of the then-standard jury instruction on manslaughter by act constituted fundamental reversible error in his case because it erroneously required the jury to find that the defendant intentionally caused the death of the victim. We then affirmed the district court's reversal of Montgomery's conviction for second-degree murder. We subsequently issued an order in Bonilla directing the State to show cause why we should not accept jurisdiction, summarily quash the decision under review, and remand for reconsideration in light of our decision in Montgomery.

Upon review of the parties' responses and the decision below, we conclude that the district court's reliance upon Zeigler v. State, 18 So. 3d 1239 (Fla. 2d DCA 2009), is inconsistent with our reasoning in Montgomery and our conclusion that the use of the erroneous manslaughter by act instruction constituted fundamental error that required reversal of Montgomery's conviction for second-degree murder. Accordingly, we accept jurisdiction and grant the petition for review. The decision below is quashed, and this matter is remanded to the Third District Court of Appeal for reconsideration upon application of our decision in Montgomery.

It is so ordered.

CANADY, C.J., and PARIENTE, LEWIS, QUINCE, POLSTON, and PERRY, JJ., concur.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING MOTION, AND IF FILED, DETERMINED.

Application for Review of the Decision of the District Court of Appeal - Certified
Direct Conflict of Decisions

Third District - Case No. 3D09-3209

(Dade County)

Carlos J. Martinez, Public Defender, and Gwendolyn Powell Braswell and Andrew
M. Stanton, Assistant Public Defenders, Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Miami, Florida,

for Petitioner

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, Tallahassee, Florida, Richard L. Polin, Bureau
Chief, and Natalia Costea, Assistant Attorney Generals, Miami, Florida,

for Respondent