## NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION

STATE OF LOUISIANA

**COURT OF APPEAL** 

FIRST CIRCUIT

2010 CA 0332

MICHEL DIXON

**VERSUS** 

MAMES LA'BLANC, SECRETARY LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CORRECTIONS; LOUISIANA CORRECTION SERVICES; RICHARD DEVILLE, CALDWELL DETENTION CENTER, DELANA BECKLEY, SOUTH LOUISIANA CORRECTIONAL CENTER, WARDEN DREW, J.B. EVANS CORRECTIONAL CENTER, SHIRLEY PAILETTE; LA'SALLE CORRECTIONAL CORPORATION; LEROY HOLLIDAY, CATAHOULA CORRECTIONAL CENTER, PAT BOOKS, RICHWOOD CORRECTIONAL CENTER, CLOVIS TILLERY, RIVER CORRECTIONAL CENTER, RICHARD SPINNER.

DATE OF JUDGMENT:

SEP 1 0 2010

ON APPEAL FROM THE NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT NUMBER 582,620, SECTION 26, PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE STATE OF LOUISIANA

HONORABLE KAY BATES, JUDGE

\* \* \* \* \* \*

Michel Dixon Pine Prairie, Louisiana

Plaintiff-Appellant

Pro Se

William Kline Baton Rouge, Louisiana

Counsel for Defendant-Appellee Louisiana Department of Public

Safety and Corrections

BEFORE: PARRO, KUHN, AND PETTIGREW, JJ.

Disposition: AFFIRMED.

## KUHN, J.

Plaintiff-appellant, Michel Dixon, an inmate in the custody of the Department of Public Safety and Corrections, appeals the district court's judgment dismissing his petition for judicial review, without prejudice, for lack of subject matter (appellate) jurisdiction. Mindful that plaintiff has not filed a petition seeking a mandamus to have any responsible party directed to respond to a timely and properly filed ARP request, we find no error in the conclusion of the district court that he has failed to exhaust administrative remedies as required under La. R.S. 15:1172, 1176, and 1178. Thus, the district court judgment is affirmed in accordance with La. Uniform Rules of the Courts of Appeal—Rule 2.16.2A(1), (2), (5), and (6). Appeal costs are assessed against plaintiff-appellant, Michel Dixon.

## AFFIRMED.