

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**

MARJORIE STEWART, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

HOWARD BIERMAN, et al.,

Defendants.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Case No.: RWT 10cv2822

ORDER

Upon consideration of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 32), the opposition thereto, the arguments presented by counsel at a hearing held before the undersigned on February 8, 2012, and for the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, it is this 8th day of May, 2012, by the United States District Court for the District of Maryland,

ORDERED, that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint, Doc. No. 32, is **GRANTED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that Plaintiffs' Motion to Certify Questions, Doc. No. 35, is **DENIED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that Plaintiffs' Motion to Stay Pending Answer of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, Doc. No. 36, is **DENIED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that Plaintiffs' Motion to Take Judicial Notice, Doc. No. 50, is **DENIED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that Plaintiffs' Motion to Supplement Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, Doc. No. 55, is **GRANTED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that Defendants' Motion for Leave to Submit Supplemental Authority, Doc. No. 59, is **GRANTED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that Plaintiffs' Motion to Supplement Authority, Doc. No. 61, is **GRANTED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that judgment for costs be entered in favor of the Defendants; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Clerk is directed to **CLOSE** this case.

/s/

ROGER W. TITUS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE