

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of EUGENE STEVEN RAY, III, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

EUGENE STEVEN RAY, JR.,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

CHARLOTTE ANN CUNNINGHAM,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED
October 30, 1998

No. 205202
Wayne Juvenile Court
LC No. 87-263852

Before: Young, Jr., P.J., and Wahls and Jansen, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from the juvenile court order terminating his parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm.

Respondent-appellant challenges the termination of his parental rights under §§ 19b(3)(c)(i) and (g) only. Because only one statutory ground is necessary to terminate parental rights and because respondent-appellant does not challenge the termination of his parental rights under § 19b(3)(j), respondent-appellant is not entitled to appellate relief. *Roberts & Son Contracting, Inc v North Oakland Development Corp*, 163 Mich App 109, 113; 413 NW2d 744 (1987).

Regardless, the juvenile court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974; *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondent-appellant does not argue that termination of

his parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). We therefore conclude that the juvenile court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the child. *Id.*

Affirmed.

/s/ Robert P. Young, Jr.

/s/ Myron H. Wahls

/s/ Kathleen Jansen