

**FILED: March 28, 2012**

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF OREGON

A. M. G.  
Petitioner-Respondent,

v.

MABLE A. YOUNG,  
Respondent-Appellant.

Lane County Circuit Court  
181020709

A147188

Ted Carp, Judge.

Submitted on February 23, 2012.

George W. Kelly filed the brief for appellant.

David C. Force filed the brief for respondent.

Before Haselton, Presiding Judge, and Armstrong, Judge, and Hadlock, Judge.

PER CURIAM

Reversed.

PER CURIAM

2 Respondent appeals a stalking protective order (SPO) that the trial court  
3 entered against her. A detailed recitation of the facts would not benefit the bench, the  
4 bar, or the public. On appeal, respondent contends that the record lacked sufficient  
5 evidence to establish an objectively reasonable basis for petitioner to have felt alarmed or  
6 coerced. We agree and, accordingly, reverse.

7 ORS 163.738(2)(a)(B) provides that a court may enter an SPO against a  
8 person if it finds by a preponderance of the evidence that

18 The evidence submitted to the court was legally insufficient to establish the requisite  
19 objectively reasonable alarm on petitioner's part. Thus, the trial court erred in entering an  
20 SPO against respondent.

21 Reversed.